

KIT REVIEW No. 182

MICRO-MOLD

AUTOGYRO

Wallis

BY DAVE DAY

HAVING BEEN an autogyro 'nut' for as long as I can remember, I have followed with interest the various attempts to produce a practical single rotor R/C model.

Let anyone should be confused, let's point out right away that the difference between an autogyro and a helicopter is that the rotor of an autogyro is *not* driven by an engine. The autogyro is, in fact, a conventional aeroplane which has a freely rotating (autorotating) wing.

Indeed, the early full-size machines were nothing more than an existing fuselage and tail (usually an Avro 504) with a rotor added on top of a pylon mounted at the CG position. Initially, control was effected by the rudder and elevator together with ailerons mounted on outriggers. However, it was found that the ailerons and elevators could be dispensed with and pitch and roll control obtained by tilting the rotor axis. The resulting aircraft was, therefore, a very simple machine and, allegedly, very easy to fly.

Previous attempts to produce an R/C model along similar lines have not been conspicuously successful. Quite why this should be so is not really clear but one reason which has been given is the difficulty of obtaining a servo with sufficient power and a fast enough response to tilt the rotor. One way of solving this problem is to use a stabiliser/servo paddle system as used in R/C helicopters. This has been done in all of the kitted autogyros, of which the *Wallis* autogyro from *Micro-Mold* is the latest and first British example.

One other problem with full-size machines lies in the length of take-off run required to 'spin-up' the rotor to flying speed. Many methods were tried to overcome this, culminating in a clutch and drive system from the engine to accelerate the rotors up to speed before the take-off commenced.

A similar system is used in the *Micro-Mold* autogyro, though here we have a slight varia-

tion in that the full-size *Wallis* machine does not use a spin-up device. The aircraft is so small and light that a manual 'swing' suffices for this purpose.

The Kit

This is to the usual high *Micro-Mold* standard, being very similar to the well-proven 'Lark' kit. Indeed many of the mechanical components are either based upon, or the same as used in 'the Lark' thus providing production continuity.

Packaging is excellent with all major sub-assemblies in numbered polythene bags which tie up with numbered sections in the instruction manual. The rotor blades are of hardwood, matched for weight, and only require finish sanding.

Ply and balsa parts are ready shaped and all metal and plastic parts are, apart from trimming the fuselage mouldings, ready for assembly.

Construction

There are no problems here. It is essential that the main shaft and drive assembly is free and a tip from designer Roy Sturman is to spin the whole assembly with an electric drill after adding a *small* quantity of metal polish to each of the bearings.

One problem with the review model was of my own making. Initial attempts to turn the assembled clutch by hand revealed no tendency to slip whatsoever and it was difficult to see how it might be possible to start the motor without being beaten to death by the rotor blades! Consultation with *Micro-Mold's* John Dean revealed that one customer had found it necessary to radius

the leading edge of the clutch shoes to obtain satisfactory operation.

The clutch was modified accordingly and when the motor was started — yes, you guessed! No drive to the rotors! In fact my fears were groundless — the moral? *Leave it alone.*



Having had various 'moments' with a 'Lark' due to loosening grub screws, it seems prudent to take particular care when tightening screws applying thread-lock where necessary when using the same system to transmit the power of a .60 sized motor to a much bigger rotor. The rotor is freewheeling by the time the model leaves the ground so a failure would not be disastrous.

The transfers are beautifully reproduced but are very thin. This very fact means that they will fit themselves nicely to the fuselage curves, but actually transferring them from the backing to the fuselage is not to be tackled lightly. I used clear polyurethane as per instructions to protect them.

Flying

Apart from the self-inflicted clutch problem already mentioned, first attempts at flying revealed another problem. When the starter was applied to the spinner, the prop, spinner, etc. immediately flew off. "Fool," I thought, "you didn't tighten it enough." Two repeat performances later, I managed to tighten the nut sufficiently to keep the propeller on.

Once the engine was running, there was no real problem in getting it into the air. I did find that take-off's were much easier if there is a reasonable breeze blowing. In calm con-



1 & 6. Vacuum formed rear fuselage sides. 2. Vacuum formed tray holding drive train parts, clutch parts and pusher propeller. 3. Piano wire for linkages, etc. 4. Vacuum formed tray carrying undercarriage and main plate. 5. Pre-cut plywood parts. 7. Fuselage top. 8. Fuselage bottom. 9. Vacuum formed reinforcement. 10. Rotor blades. 11. Nuts, screws, etc. 12. Fuel tank. 13. Pre-shaped balsa parts. 14. Vacuum formed pilot. 15. Linkages, etc.

